Journal of Global Research in Electronics and Communication
Volume 1, No. 1, January 2025
Available Online at: https://jgrec.info/index.php/jgrec/index
ISSN: 2321-3175
RESEARCH PAPER

Survey on Training Programs for Diverse Learning Styles in Education: Approaches, Challenges, and Opportunities

Vikas Prajapati
Independent Researcher
Prajapati.vikas2707@gmail.com

Ruhul Quddus Majumder
Indigenous Services, Canada
Ottawa, Canada
inforuhul@gmail.com

***Abstract*—The essential nature of diverse learning styles accommodating training programs serves as a base to building effective educational environments. The multiple learning style theory explains three main learning approaches visual, auditory and kinesthetic. The review investigates multiple learning style implications for training program design and presentation methods. This research evaluates Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory and how cultural environment and situational factors affect learning preferences. Educational personnel encounter three main obstacles: developing unique content material and working with minimal available funds while ensuring class diversity integration. The paper describes newer chances enabled by technological progress including Artificial Intelligence (AI), Virtual Reality (VR) and adaptive learning tools which modernize standard training methods. Educational systems enhance learner experience when training programs adapt to different learning styles, improving student commitment and information memorization.**

Keywords—Learning Styles, Visual, Auditory, Kinesthetic, Training Program Design, Kolb's Learning Theory, Cultural Influences, Adaptive Learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Learners prefer their ways of absorbing knowledge, identifying it, remembering it, and using it later. Because each student learns differently, they receive and process information in various ways. Some kids learn best visually, while others learn best kinesthetically or auditorily. Seeing charts, graphs, and images helps visual learners learn. Auditory learners absorb information by reading and listening to lectures. Kinaesthetic learners acquire knowledge by action. Put another way, kids may learn in various ways, including by employing their senses of sight, hearing, movement, and touch, such as through hands-on activities. Additionally, some students learn better working alone than in groups, while others the opposite. These actions are referred to as cognitive or learning styles[1].

This leads to a difference in the teaching methodology as well. Honey and Mumford (1992) and Kolb (1984) defined learning style as a person's preferred or routine approach to information processing and transformation. According to Kolb (1984), psychological traits resulting from individual variances influence a person's specific learning procedures.

Diversity training has been a hot subject in human resource development for several years. Due to significant demographic changes brought about by globalization, businesses today more than ever need to hire workers from a variety of racial, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds [2]. Individual variances on a range of characteristics that might cause people to perceive themselves as different from themselves are commonly referred to as diversity (Williams and O'Reilly 1998, van Knippenberg and Schippers 2007). Individual traits like demographics, personality traits, values, religious and cultural views, physical and mental capabilities, and sexual orientation can all be considered disparities. The integration and management of these diverse groups, as well as maximizing their work satisfaction and organizational fit, provide challenges for organizations worldwide [3].

In essence, a person's learning style refers to their methods or approaches to learning. Since every person learns differently than others, educators and trainers must comprehend different learning styles in order to interact with information and skill transfer efficiently. Research on learning styles dates back to the 19th century[4]. The link between memory and oral/visual learning modalities was the main focus of the early learning study. Later, the emphasis switched to various cognitive styles and techniques influencing how a student processes information, retains it, thinks, and solves problems. For improved learning outcomes, instructional materials should be tailored to the preferred learning style of students or trainees [5]. According to several studies conducted among college students, those studying accounting, economics, or finance have different learning styles than those studying marketing and management.

A. Structure of the paper

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section II overview of learning styles. Section III discusses training programs for diverse learning styles. Section IV addresses challenges in implementing training programs. Section V provides opportunities for enhancing training programs. Section VI reviews literature and case studies. Section VII concludes with findings and future research directions.

II. Overview Of Learning Styles

A learner's learning style is a consistent study approach that combines personality traits, learning technique, and inclination. Although there is not a single, accepted definition of learning style in academia, research has shown that it does have a consistent meaning. First, a learner's learning style is determined by their own habits and preferences during the learning process [6]. Everybody has a varied and distinct learning style because of the effect of their surroundings, culture, and other elements. The different methods that people choose to take in, process, and remember new knowledge are referred to as learning styles. Every individual has a chosen learning style that best suits their comprehension and assimilation of information.

A. Different Types of Learning Styles

There are three primary categories of learning, along with the concept of learning styles suggests that students have different needs and preferences in how they learn, and recognizing these can improve educational outcomes.

- Visual Learning: Visual learning is a well-known and very successful learning approach that emphasizes the use of images, spatial awareness, and visual assistance. Individuals with a predominant visual learning preference tend to excel in tasks that involve the processing and retention of visual information, such as diagrams, charts, illustrations, and other graphic representations [7].
- Auditory Learning: Auditory learning exists when people achieve their best learning results through listening. Auditory learners obtain their educational content from hearing information instead of reading written material. Auditory learners select listening and speaking activities for information processing while other learners use different retention methods based on touch or vision and reading and writing abilities.
- Kinesthetic Learning: Kinesthetic learning, which is known as tactile learning, uses physical activity together with hands-on experiences as the main pathways for knowledge acquisition. The learning style of kinesthetic learners matches the tasks that require hands-on engagement with physical materials and environments [8].

B. Theoretical Framework of Learning Styles

The core theories from learning styles research lead to foundational knowledge about information processing in individuals as well as explanations regarding learning preference diversity. These teachings explain student learning behavior diversity by providing understanding which guides educator teaching method adaptation. Educational training design becomes more advantageous when educators know these theoretical frameworks because they help create courses which respond to individual student learning preferences thus enhancing student involvement together with understanding capabilities and academic achievements.

1) Kolb's Learning Styles

According to experiential learning theory, learning is defined as the process of converting experience into knowledge. According to the definition, knowledge is created by experience transformation together with the act of grasping. Specific ways that each person independently changes and comprehends experience are reflected in the experiential learning theory's learning styles[9].

- The experiential learning idea states that people learn and change their experiences in opposing ways.
- According to this theoretical framework, humans use the modes of abstract conceptualization (AC) and concrete experience (CE) to understand experience, and they use the modes of active experimentation (AE) and reflecting observation (RO) to modify experience.
- On the one hand, preferred methods of comprehending experiences are associated with desired levels of concreteness or abstractness.

2) Individualism and Collectivism

Since Hofstede's groundbreaking work, cultural impacts on organizational behaviour have gained significant attention in the field of study. Power distance, uncertainty avoidance, masculinity and femininity, individuality and collectivism, and long- and short-term orientation are the five characteristics of culture that Hofstede defined in his writings. The individualism and collectivism components of these cultural value dimensions are proposed as the most prevalent cultural syndrome and the one that has the most impact on organizational psychology. The concept of self is the primary difference between the characteristics of individualism and collectivism [10].

3) Hypothesis Development

It is suggested that cultural values shape people's cognitive structures. And cognitive structure produces distinct learning styles. Given that one aspect of learning is about understanding the experience, it follows that cultural values might influence people's preferred learning styles.

- There are two ways that people understand an event: through real experience and through abstract conceptualization. This demonstrates the degree of abstraction involved in understanding the event [11].
- The theoretical framework states that people who prefer actual experience as their preferred learning method prefer to learn from their local surroundings as opposed to global symbols and concepts.
- They use the palpable, felt aspects of their surroundings to learn. Additionally, those with a high level of tangible experience learning skills cherish interpersonal connections and are perceptive to the values and feelings of others.
- Conversely, those who choose abstract conceptualization as their preferred method of learning favor conceptual models.
- These individuals excel at applying and utilizing concepts and logic, creating methodical plans, and employing quantitative analysis.

C. Implications of Learning Styles on Education

As of right now, there isn't any solid proof that one learning method is superior to another. Ellis claims that although learners exhibit a variety of learning styles, it is still unclear if some learning styles lead to more and faster learning than others. However, learning style models can still provide some insight to the arduous learning process.

- Both teachers and students should, in my opinion, be aware of learning styles. Knowing their own learning preferences can help students have a better

understanding of the learning process and increase their awareness of learning [12].

- They might comprehend why they find one aspect of learning comfortable while another makes them uncomfortable if they are more aware of their learning preferences.
- They will also be aware of their strengths and the reasons behind their academic shortcomings.
- This allows them to adapt their learning to the instructional techniques or the learning environment. The most significant is that individuals may choose their learning tactics based on their learning style and an evaluation of their strengths and shortcomings [13].
- Academic success is more closely correlated with learning strategies than with learning style. Additionally, they are able to establish self-appropriate, realistic learning objectives.
- They could develop into proficient readers if they are visual learners. For auditory learners, speech and communication skills may improve.

III. Training Programs For Diverse Learning Styles

Theories of learning styles have been around for many years and have changed throughout time. According to Neil Fleming's VARK model, learners may be divided into four primary groups according to their kinesthetic, visual, auditory, and reading/writing learning preferences. In training and educational settings, other models like the Felder-Silverman Learning Style Model and Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory have also grown in acceptance.

A. Criticisms of Learning Styles Theory

As was previously indicated, scholars and educators have criticized the learning styles idea. Among the principal objections are [14]:

- **Lack of Consistency:** There is uncertainty and inconsistency in the industry since there isn't a common, standardized framework for identifying and classifying learning styles.
- **Reliability of Assessment:** A number of investigations have discovered low test-retest reliability and poor internal consistency of these evaluations, prompting concerns about the validity and reliability of learning style assessment tools.
- **Homogeneous Learning:** If education is created only with learning styles in mind, it may result in uniform learning experiences and limit students' exposure to a variety of knowledge-gathering strategies.
- **Overemphasis on Preferences:** Critics contend that an overemphasis on learning style preferences might take focus away from more crucial elements of the learning process, such metacognition, problem-solving, and critical thinking.

B. Strategies for Adapting to Diverse Learning Styles

A strategic plan which understands and meets individual student needs exists as the foundation for adapting to various learning styles. School instructors need to use differentiated teaching methods to customize educational approaches to maintain access to content by every student. Visual supports together with hands-on work and diverse multimedia tools enable the teaching of content for students who learn most effectively by seeing, hearing or performing. Adaptive learning technology enables personalized content delivery through platforms which adjust educational content according to student advancement and learning preferences[15].

- **Implementing Multimodal Teaching Methods:** A multimodal teaching approach caters to different learning styles by combining visual aids, lectures, hands-on activities, and reading materials. Diagrams and videos help visual learners; conversations and podcasts help auditory learners; interactive exercises help kinesthetic learners; and organized texts help reading and writing learners.
- **Personalized and Adaptive Learning:** Personalized and adaptive learning leverages technology to adjust content based on individual progress and preferences. AI-driven learning management systems (LMS) can modify coursework difficulty and pace [16].
- **Differentiated Instruction:** Differentiated instruction is adapting instructional strategies to accommodate a range of learning requirements. Educators can provide multiple representations of a concept, such as text, videos, and interactive models, to reach all learners.
- **Active Learning Strategies:** Active learning strategies engage students through participation, enhancing comprehension for diverse learners. Collaborative learning involves group projects, peer tutoring, and discussions, supporting social learners. Problem-Based Learning (PBL) presents real-world problems that stimulate critical thinking and creativity.
- **Leveraging Assistive Technologies:** Leveraging assistive technologies enhances accessibility and engagement for students with learning differences. Text-to-speech software aids auditory learners or students with dyslexia by converting text into spoken words. Speech recognition tools assist those struggling with writing by allowing them to speak their thoughts [17]. Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR).

IV. Challenges In Implementing Training Programs

In the context of training programs, challenges in implementing training programs refer to the obstacles that organizations face when attempting to design, execute, and sustain effective training initiatives. Here are some common challenges:

- Learning and development are essential but often underutilized by organizations[18].
- Lack of accountability is a major challenge in implementing training programs.
- Organizations focus more on attendance metrics than on meaningful outcomes.
- Monitoring and evaluation of training programs are often insufficient.
- Many organizations fail to align training programs with institutional goals.
- Monitoring training programs require active engagement, which is often neglected.
- Human resource management often fails to fully participate in monitoring efforts.
- Evaluation frameworks for training programs are absent in many organizations.

- Comprehensive training programs are frequently left incomplete by employees.
- Poorly implemented training programs delay employees' development and growth.

A. *Lack of Awareness and Understanding of Learning Styles*

Teachers and administrators lack the knowledge and comprehension of various learning styles necessary to carry out efficient training initiatives. It may result in the indiscriminate use of one-size-fits-all teaching styles that do not likely attract all pupils optimally. Research shows that teachers generally don't have the required training to help their students learn their varying learning preferences, and therefore the students do not learn to maximum capacity[19].

B. *Resource and Infrastructure Constraints*

The rollout of varied training systems demands significant investment along with proper facilities yet many educational institutions currently lack these elements. Insufficient training funding combines with limited digital tool accessibility and outdated technological infrastructure to become primary obstacles[20]. A study examining e-learning adoption in higher education documented obstacles arising from insufficient resources and insufficient training for instructors together with inadequate infrastructure.

C. *Resistance to Change in Traditional Teaching Methods*

Implementation of new training programs often encounters widespread opposition from stakeholders who oppose adjustments [21]. Traditional instructors might resist new teaching methods because they believe these methods require excessive complexity and time dedication. Organizational resistance acts as a barrier to implementing new teaching solutions and technical tools, preventing training initiatives from achieving their full potential[22].

D. *Measuring the Effectiveness of Training Programs*

Full assessment of training program effectiveness remains essential for ongoing quality enhancement. Evaluation frameworks to precisely measure learning outcomes are not well developed in numerous institutions. Successful training initiatives along with their enhancement areas become difficult to diagnose when organizations fail to use proper assessment tools.

V. Opportunities For Enhancing Training Programs

Training program optimization remains vital for organizations who want better employee outcomes and marketplace adaptation. Studies from recent years present multiple strategies which optimize training results[23].

- As indicated by the particular moderator variable, the first model assumed that the relationship between training opportunities and ease of use was constant across groups.
- The second model postulated that, according to the particular moderator variable, the relationship between training opportunities and perceived usefulness was constant across sub-samples.
- According to the particular moderator variable, the third model assumed that the relationship between IT self-competence and training opportunities was constant across groups.
- The fourth model postulated that, according to the particular moderator variable, the relationship between job satisfaction and training opportunities was constant across subsamples.

A. *Emerging Technologies and Tools in Education*

Education methodologies have undergone substantial transformation through technological progress which delivered innovative tools to match different learning styles. AI and VR stand as essential building blocks that drive contemporary education systems.

1) Artificial Intelligence and Adaptive Learning Systems

There were placed in five systems, frameworks, models, methods, and combinations of interventions are the categories based on solutions and interventions that have been used in AI learning settings. A system (adaptive learning system, intelligent mechanism, or adaptive learning platform) was employed as an intervention in a large number of the published papers [24]. The adaptive learning framework was the other primary intervention method. Concepts, behaviors, beliefs, and presumptions are defined by frameworks, which also offer a set of implementation recommendations. The majority of the frameworks that were suggested as solutions in these publications had characteristics and components that were necessary for use in educational settings. AI methods, user (learner) models, and other adaptive strategies were the suggested things.

2) Virtual and Augmented Reality for Immersive Learning

In virtual learning settings, augmented reality and virtual reality may enhance education by offering dynamic and captivating learning experiences. They also give students the opportunity to engage with their classmates, the surroundings, and the curriculum in novel ways [25]. According to the results of the research now in publication, students exhibit improved learning motivation, engagement, interest, and performance when these technologies are included into teaching and learning activities. However, learning in immersive and extended reality settings might have different effects on students' emotional states [26]. The creation of apps that can assess students' emotional states and make intelligent real-time adjustments is the main goal of affective computing.

B. *Authentic learning opportunities*

Despite a number of conflicts and difficulties, the Gateway to Industry Schools Program partnerships have the creative ability to create real-world learning experiences for participating students and facilitate the transition from school to the workplace. While each project partnership has adopted a somewhat different strategy [27], They had effectively modified their courses to incorporate cutting-edge industry-based learning opportunities. During this procedure, industry-based curricula were filtered via:

- school approval processes
- VET standards and audit requirements
- industry body approvals

Professional development for teachers is a top responsibility in order to attain authenticity. As a short-term result of Gateway activities, it may be possible to upskill current instructors or hire more skilled and experienced educators, but a significant number of pupils must get the desired results.

C. Policy and Institutional Support

To achieve successful implementation of emerging technologies and collaborative methods institutions need strong policies and support systems [28]. Educational authorities must implement changes to their policies which support both technology innovation and collegial work methods if they want to establish inclusive educational spaces. Education policy research collaborations generate monitoring and evaluation frameworks through their development work to support sustainable educational practices.

VI. LITERATURE OF REVIEW

In this section, provide the previous research on training Programs for Diverse Learning Styles in Education. This Table I would systematically organize various research studies related to diverse learning styles in education, summarizing the methods used, the findings, the challenges faced, and the limitations of each study.

Yao, Guo and Chiang (2022) created a training system for engineers using robot arm control using Blackly programming approaches in-training. As Industry 4.0 expands quickly, robot arm control devices are being used increasingly often. These gadgets often use programming techniques in high-level languages to do their tasks. Young control engineers can be trained via lectures to successfully and effortlessly integrate intelligent automation systems. We created a digital learning competency-based course of intelligent automatic control system for techniques and talents training by using the ADDIE instructional design model, which includes Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation, in conjunction with Blackly programming techniques and its ability to automatically translate control logic to high-level language[29].

Furman, (2024) explore the role of AI-driven analytics such as personalized learning pathways and adaptive assessment techniques, in personalizing learning experiences and improving educational outcomes for engineering students. Through a methodical review of previous studies, we pinpoint the ways in which artificial intelligence (AI) tools, including data mining, machine learning algorithms, and natural language processing, might be applied to customize instructional materials, enhance student engagement, and improve curriculum design[30].

Murillo Barajas and Ramírez Ramírez (2024) involves administering surveys to identify predominant learning styles and personality traits. Subsequently, these data are inputted into a social simulator, allowing for the visualization and analysis of how different learning styles interact with various personality traits. This study examines university student learning style determination together with its associations to personality characteristics. The social simulator enables researchers to investigate learning style interactions with personality types among university student populations. Learning preferences of individuals have a direct impact on both their personality growth as well as academic achievements throughout university education[31].

Peace (2024) examines the theoretical models of learning styles, such as the Multiple Intelligences theory developed by Howard Gardner, This paper analyzes VARK model with Kolb's Learning Styles Inventory to show their educational applications. Educational institutions should identify different learning styles because this assessment allows educators to develop specific instructional approaches which meet the individual learning requirements of their students while maintaining an inclusive academic environment. This research supports learning style diversity improvement of student engagement and persistence rate but it tackles challenges about research proof and widespread assumptions[32].

Harding (2023) paper examines student-driven syllabus development which supports various learning approaches while seeking to increase student interactivity. Students made choices regarding their learning approach and assignment types, accountability methods, examination formats and active learning intensity through a written questionnaire. The collected student survey data resulted in creating a syllabus structure which merged diverse learning approaches with passive and active learning elements such as lectures and readings together with debates and small group activities[33].

Derly M. Martira and Roger B. Rueda (2023) interaction in graduate school operates through multiple interwoven learning-teaching methods. Through a combined quantitative and qualitative research design the investigators worked to detect and research and support the matching processes between teaching styles and graduate education quality enhancement. The research initiation focused on graduate student learning style distributions which revealed diverging, assimilating, converging and accommodating preferences among students. Such diverse students emphasize the need to identify different learning styles amongst this specific group of students.

TABLE I. LITERATURE OF REVIEW ON TRAINING FOR DIVERSE LEARNING STYLES IN EDUCATION

Reference	Study On	Approach	Key Findings	Challenges	Limitations
[29]	Blockly programming for robot arm control training	ADDIE instructional design model	Developed a competence-based course integrating Blockly to simplify high-level programming for engineers-in-training.	High complexity of tasks for young engineers.	Limited to robot arm applications.
[30]	AI-driven analytics in education	Literature review	Identified AI technologies (e.g., machine learning, NLP) as tools to personalize learning pathways and optimize curriculum design.	Implementation of AI in real-world educational settings.	Requires robust AI infrastructure.
[31]	Learning styles and personality traits	Social simulator and survey	Explored interactions between learning styles and personality traits, with insights into their influence on academic performance.	The limited scope of surveyed participants.	Results may not generalize across populations.
[32]	Learning styles frameworks	Theoretical review of multiple models	Discussed Gardner's Multiple Intelligences, VARK, and Kolb's models, advocating differentiated instruction strategies.	Critiques on the validity of learning style theories.	Potential risk of overgeneralization.

[33]	Student-led syllabus design	Case study	Demonstrated that student-designed syllabi improve engagement and cater to diverse learning styles.	Risk of overly complex or imbalanced syllabus design.	Focused on a single course example.
[34]	Alignment of teaching and learning styles in graduate education	Mixed-methods research	Highlighted diverse graduate student learning preferences (e.g., diverging, converging, accommodating).	Alignment of styles is complex in practice.	Limited to graduate-level context.

VII. Conclusion And Future Work

Training programs need diverse learning style reviews for maximizing educational and program effectiveness. Students learn differently because they have unique preferences for how information reaches them and how their brains process and store it which makes trainers and educators create teaching methods suitable for each person. The VARK model together with Kolb's Experiential Learning enable educators to understand different learning styles which guides their creation of more effective educational environments. The implementation of learning styles in training programs creates three major benefits which include better student engagement together with enhanced comprehension and improved memory retention of material. Organizations experience several obstacles including insufficient awareness and scarce resources together with resistance to adapt. Organizations need to focus on developing professional skills for educators together with strategic investments in required resources as well as flexible training methodology. Organizations now have clear opportunities to develop efficient personalized learning methods through the implementation of emerging technologies which include AI as well as adaptive learning systems and virtual reality.

Future studies must examine how cutting-edge technological tools, in conjunction with virtual reality (VR) and artificial intelligence (AI) systems, could improve adaptive learning systems so they can dynamically adjust to various learning styles. The research needs extended exploration of personalized learning methods because experts must understand their enduring educational effects on student achievements in different educational settings. Researchers should focus on the development of better standardized learning style assessment tools that resolve validity and accuracy issues in present models. Research must focus on enhancing the methods which assess training program effectiveness to enable organizations better evaluate their training initiatives. An analysis connecting cultural diversity elements with learning styles should become part of future research.

References

[1] N. Ibrahim and Z. A. Zulkipli, "The Diversity of Learning Styles and Academic Performance of Biology Students," *Int. J. Acad. Res. Progress. Educ. Dev.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 450–464, 2022, doi: 10.6007/ijarped/v11-i4/15869.

[2] H. Alhejji, E. Hussainalhejjiulie, and T. Garavan, "A Review of Diversity Training Programmes in Organisations : Context , Design and Outcomes," no. June 2013, pp. 1–62, 2015.

[3] S. G. Prity Choudhary, Rahul Choudhary, "Enhancing Training by Incorporating ChatGPT in Learning Modules: An Exploration of Benefits, Challenges, and Best Practices," *Int. J. Innov. Sci. Res. Technol.*, vol. 9, no. 11, 2024.

[4] J. Sarabdeen, "Learning Styles and Training Methods," *Commun. IBIMA*, vol. 2013, pp. 1–9, 2013, doi: 10.5171/2013.311167.

[5] Prity Choudhary and Pooja Potdar, "The Impact of Microlearning on Employee Training and Development in Corporate Settings," *Int. J. Adv. Res. Sci. Commun. Technol.*, no. march 2024, 2024, doi: 10.48175/IJARSCT-22671.

[6] B. Xing, "A Review of Research on Learning Style," *Open J. Mod. Linguist.*, vol. 13, no. 02, pp. 263–275, 2023, doi: 10.4236/ojml.2023.132016.

[7] M. Alabi, "Visual Learning: The Power of Visual Aids and Multimedia," 2024.

[8] P. Peace, E. Frank, E. Henry, J. Donald, and J. Schöpfel, "Evaluating the Effectiveness of Kinesthetic Learning," 2024.

[9] D. A. Kolb, "Experiential Learning: Experience as The Source of Learning and Development," *Prentice Hall, Inc.*, no. 1984, pp. 20–38, 1984, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-7506-7223-8.50017-4.

[10] M. Søndergaard, "Geert Hofstede , Culture ' s Consequences : Comparing Values , Behaviours , Institutions , and Organizations Across," *Journal, Int. Manag. Cross Cult.*, no. January 2001, pp. 447–456, 2002.

[11] H. R. Markus and S. Kitayama, "Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation," *Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 98, no. 2, pp. 224–253, 1991, doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.98.2.224.

[12] W. Xu, "Learning styles and their implications in learning and teaching," *Theory Pract. Lang. Stud.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 413–416, 2011, doi: 10.4304/tpls.1.4.413-416.

[13] P. Choudhary, "View article Enhancing Process Comprehension through Simulation-Based Learning," p. 52, 2022.

[14] A. F. Djavairovna, "the Influence of Learning Styles on Language Teaching At Secondary School," *Acad. Res. Educ. Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 465–470, 2022.

[15] W. Olabiyi, "Teaching Strategies for Diverse Learning Styles," no. November, 2024.

[16] R. Soler Costa, Q. Tan, F. Pivot, X. Zhang, and H. Wang, "Personalized and adaptive learning," *Texto Livre Ling. e Tecnol.*, 2021, doi: 10.35699/1983-3652.2021.33445.

[17] G. Mois and J. Beer, "Leveraging Assistive Technology Resources to Support Aging in Place: A Scoping Study," *Innov. Aging*, 2020, doi: 10.1093/geroni/igaa057.330.

[18] S. S. Mosha, "the Challenges on the Implementation of," vol. 7, no. September 2020, pp. 122–135, 2018.

[19] N. Martin-Alguacil, L. Avedillo, R. Mota-Blanco, and M. Gallego-Agundez, "Student-Centered Learning: Some Issues and Recommendations for Its Implementation in a Traditional Curriculum Setting in Health Sciences," *Educ. Sci.*, vol. 14, no. 11, 2024, doi: 10.3390/educsci14111179.

[20] B. K. Naik, "Barriers to the Adoption of E-Learning in Higher Education Institutes (HEI):," vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2025, doi: 10.4018/IJESMA.365667.

[21] F. U. Raja and N. Khan, "Comparing Traditional Teaching Method and Experiential Teaching Method using Experimental Research," *J. Educ. Educ. Dev.*, 2018, doi: 10.22555/joeed.v5i2.1816.

[22] S. Dovbenko, R. G. Naida, V. M. Beschastnyy, H. V. Bezverkhnia, and V. V. Tsybulska, "Problem of resistance to the introduction of distance learning models of training in the vocational training of educators," *Int. J. Learn. Teach. Educ. Res.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1–12, 2020, doi: 10.26803/ijlter.19.2.1.

[23] M. G. Mariani, M. Curcuruto, and I. Gaetani, "Training opportunities, technology acceptance and job satisfaction: A study of Italian organizations," *J. Work. Learn.*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 455–475, 2013, doi: 10.1108/JWL-12-2011-0071.

[24] T. Kabudi, I. Pappas, and D. H. Olsen, "AI-enabled adaptive learning systems: A systemaatic mapping of the literature," *Comput. Educ. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 2, no. March, p. 100017, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100017.

[25] B. Yang, "Virtual Reality and Augmented Reality for Immersive Learning: A Framework of Education Environment Design," *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, 2023, doi: 10.3991/ijet.v18i20.44209.

[26] G. Lampropoulos, P. Fernández-Arias, Á. Antón-Sancho, and D. Vergara, "Affective Computing in Augmented Reality, Virtual Reality, and Immersive Learning Environments," *Electron.*, vol. 13, no. 15, 2024, doi: 10.3390/electronics13152917.

[27] Sudarta, 済無*No Title No Title No Title*, vol. 16, no. 1. 2022.

[28] R. J. Didham and P. Ofei-Manu, "Facilitating collaborative

partnerships in education policy research: A case of multi-stakeholder, co-investigation for monitoring and evaluation of education for sustainable development," *Sustain.*, vol. 12, no. 7, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12072787.

[29] A. W. L. Yao, J. T. Guo, and Y. T. Chiang, "Developing a robot arm control training system by using blockly programming techniques for mechatronics engineers-in-training," in *2022 25th International Conference on Mechatronics Technology (ICMT)*, 2022, pp. 1–3. doi: 10.1109/ICMT56556.2022.9997794.

[30] G. D. Furman, "Enhancing Engineering Education: The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Personalizing Learning and Outcomes," in *2024 4th International Conference on Big Data Engineering and Education (BDEE)*, 2024, pp. 61–65. doi: 10.1109/BDEE63226.2024.00018.

[31] S. L. Murillo Barajas and M. Ramírez Ramírez, "Importance of personalized learning; Use of a Social Simulator in Identifying Learning Styles," in *2024 IEEE Technology and Engineering Management Society (TEMSCON LATAM)*, 2024, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/TEMSCONLATAM61834.2024.10717672.

[32] P. Peace, "Diversity in Learning Styles Authors Praise Peace , Grace Donald," no. November, 2024.

[33] L. H. Harding, "Supporting Diverse Learning Styles: A Case Study in Student Led Syllabus Design," *J. Polit. Sci. Educ.*, 2023, doi: 10.1080/15512169.2022.2117626.

[34] Derly M. Martira and Roger B. Rueda, "Learning and Teaching Styles in The Graduate School Education: Identifying, Analyzing, and Facilitating," *J. Adv. Zool.*, 2023, doi: 10.17762/jaz.v44is-3.1769.

